## BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)	NO.	D-2394
FRANK MOSLER, M.D. Certificate No. A-19694,	) ) )		
Respondent	. )		

## DECISION

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision sha	ll become effective on	April 12, 1982
IT IS SO ORDERED	March 12, 1982	

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

MILLER MEDEARIS Secretary-Treasurer

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General 1 WILLIAM L. MARCUS Deputy Attorney General 2 3580 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90010 3 Telephone: (213) 736-2074 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation 11 Against: NO. D-239412 FRANK MOSLER, M.D. STIPULATION 13172 Courbet Lane FOR SETTLEMENT 13 Granada Hills, California 14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-19694, 15 Respondent. 16 17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties 18 to the above entitled accusation as follows: 19 There is presently pending before the Division of 20 Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance 21 (hereinafter the "division") an accusation number D-2394 against 22 Frank Mosler, M.D. (hereinafter referred to as "the respondent). 23 Respondent is represented in this matter by 24 Henry Lewin, Esq., and has counseled with Mr. Lewin regarding 25

1.

this stipulation for settlement.

26

- 4. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in said accusation, his rights to reconsideration, appeal, his rights to subpoena witnesses, his rights to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, and any and all other rights which may be accorded to him pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and the Code of Civil Procedure.
- 5. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily waives his rights to a hearing, reconsideration, appeal, to subpoena witnesses, to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, and any and all other rights which may be accorded to him by the California Administrative Procedure Act and the Code of Civil Procedure with regard to said accusation number D-2394 on file with the division.
- 6. At the time of filing accusation number D-2394, Robert G. Rowland was the executive director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance and filed said accusation solely in his official capacity.
- 7. On or about July 1, 1961, Frank Mosler, M.D. was issued physician's and surgeon's certificate No. A-19694 by the board. Said certificate is currently in good standing.

/

8. Respondent admits the allegations of subparagraphs A and B of paragraph 7 of the accusation and admits that said conduct constituted unprofessional conduct pursuant to then section 2361.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

9. Pursuant to the foregoing stipulations, admissions and recitals, the division shall issue the following order:

Physician's and surgeon's certificate number A-19694, heretofore issued to respondent Frank Mosler, M.D., is hereby revoked. Said revocation shall be stayed and respondent shall be placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions:

- A. Respondent shall, during each year of probation, undertake 50 hours of approved Category I, Continuing Medical Education, in addition to the Continuing Medical Education Requirements for licensure.
- B. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- C. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- D. Respondent shall comply with the division's probation surveillance program.

- F. In the event respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside the state, respondent must notify the division in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- G. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may set aside the stay order and impose the suspension of respondent's certificate.
- H. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- 10. Should the division, for any reason, fail to adopt the within stipulation it shall be of no force or effect as to any party.

/
/

/

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN Attorney General Dated: October 2, 1981 WILLIAM L. MARCUS Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant Dated: Dec. 15,1981 HENRY R. /LEWIN, Esq. Attorney for Respondent ACKNOWLEDGMENT I understand the above stipulation and the effect it will have on my physician's and surgeon's certificate. Respondent Mosler1-5 

VLM:mpe

3MAR5

1 GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General WILLIAM L. MARCUS, 2 Deputy Attorney General 3580 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 800 Los Angeles, California 90010 3 Telephone: (213) 736-2074 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 8 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation 11 Against: 12 FRANK MOSLER, M.D. NO. D-2394 13172 Courbet Lane 1.3 Granada Hills, California ACCUSATION Physician's and Surgeon's 14 Certificate No. A-19694, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Complainant alleges that: 18 He is Robert G. Rowland, Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance (hereinafter referred to as 19 the "board") and makes and files this accusation solely in his 20 official capacity. 21 22 2. On or about July 1, 1961, Frank Mosler, M.D. (hereinafter referred to as "respondent") was issued physician's 23 and surgeon's certificate number A-19694 by the board. 24 certificate is currently in good standing. 25 26 3. Sections 2360 and 2372 of the Business and

27

Professions Code (hereinafter referred to as the "code") provide

- that every certificate issued by the board may be disciplined. Section 2361 of the code provides that the Division of Medical Quality, a division of the board, shall take action against any holder of a certificate who is guilty of unprofessional conduct.
- 4. Section 2361, subdivisions (b) and (d) provide, respectively, that gross negligence and incompetence each constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 5. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action by authority of sections 2360, 2361, and 2372 of the code because respondent has been guilty of gross negligence and incompetence within the meaning of subdivisions (b) and (d) of section 2361 of the code as follows:
  - A. On or about October 4, 1973, patient Ann Roberts was first seen by Dr. Carl Lund at the Harold Harper Metabology and Nutrition Group, at 9201 Sunset Boulevard in Los Angeles. Roberts complained of brittle nails, moist palms, headaches, nervous and emotional upsets, dizziness, frequent urination, blood pressure abnormalities, loose bowel movements, eating frequently and a feeling of inward trembling. Roberts had also experienced weight gain.
  - B. Laboratory tests done on or about
    October 4, 1973, showed normal results for a
    complete blood count, including evaluation for
    anemia, sodium, liver function, triglycerides and
    glucose. Uric acid was 6.5, which Dr. Lund

interpreted as above normal. Blood urea nitrogen was elevated and thyroid testing included a protein-bound iodine of 5.4, T3 of 13.7 and T4 of 4.4.

- C. Dr. Lund interpreted the PBI as below normal and prescribed 6 grains of thyroid per day, along with vitamins and medication for blood pressure and gout.
- D. Dr. Lund continued to treat Roberts until on or about March 19, 1975, when he retired.
- E. Respondent then assumed the treatment of Roberts, continuing the prescribing of 6 grains of thyroid per day, until approximately December 1975.
- F. Roberts complained to respondent, in April 1975, and thereafter, of increasing shaking and nervousness and swelling of the eyelids.

  Respondent attributed the shaking and nervousness to hypoglycemia and the swollen eyelids to allergies. Respondent did not retest Roberts for thyroid function until September 30, 1975, at Roberts' request. No thyroid tests were performed between approximately October 4, 1973, and September 30, 1975.
- G. The thyroid testing of September 30, 1975, showed a protein-bound iodine of 10.6, a T3 of 15.6 and a T4 of 11.0. Respondent informed

Roberts that her thyroid tests revealed normal functions.

- H. Subsequently, Roberts' condition worsened and Roberts was found to be hyperthyroid and to have Graves Disease, which can be caused by excessive thyroid syndrome as well as thyrotoxicosis.
- I. Respondent was grossly negligent in his treatment of Roberts in that: (1) he failed to do a repeat history, physical examination and laboratory panel, including thyroid tests, despite Roberts' reporting the symptoms described hereinabove to respondent, as well as persistent reporting by Roberts of symptoms typical of hyperthyroidism; (2) he continued to prescribe medication for a hypothyroid condition, without the repeat history, examination and testing described above; and, (3) he persistently failed to record Roberts' ongoing symptomatic complaints.
- J. Respondent was further grossly negligent and guilty of incompetence in that he failed, despite thyroid tests on September 30, 1975, which were abnormally high, and despite Roberts' original normal test results, to discontinue Roberts' thyroid medication, at least long enough to retest Roberts' thyroid condition.

COURT PAPER
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

6. Section 2361.5 of the code provided, at all times pertinent herein, that clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment which is detrimental to the patient as determined by the customary practice and standards of the local community of licensees is unprofessional conduct.\*

- 7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action by authority of sections 2360, 2361, and 2372 of the code because respondent has violated section 2361.5 of the code as follows:
  - A. Paragraph 5A through 5F, inclusive, is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth at this point.
  - B. Respondent's prescribing thyroid medication to Roberts between March 1975 and December 1975 constituted clearly excessive prescribing as determined by the standard of the local community of licensees.
  - C. Respondent's conduct was detrimental to Roberts in that he prescribed thyroid medication to a patient who exhibited evidence of hyperthroidism and thus caused, contributed to, and/or aggravated Roberts' hyperthyroid condition and caused and/or contributed to the Grave's Disease with which Roberts was later afflicted.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held on the matters alleged hereinabove and that following said hearing the division issue a decision:

/

OURT PAPER replaced by section 700 of the code which provides, in pertinent part, that repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing as determined by the standard of the local community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon.

\*Section 2361.5 was repealed effective January 1, 1978, and

TATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV 8-72)

1

2

3

Revoking or suspending physician's and surgeon's certificate number A-19694 heretofore issued to Dr. Frank Mosler by the board; and

Taking such other and further relief as the division may deem proper.

August 30, 1979. DATED:

> trunk for ROBERT G. ROWLAND Executive Director

Board of Medical Quality Assurance

State of California

Complainant

LM:ls